

Decker, Denise - Washington, DC

From: Peter Meehan [peterfmeehan@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, March 13, 2009 12:26 PM
To: RA.dcwashing2.frpp
Subject: comment on Docket Number NRCS-IFR-08006.

246

I believe USDA must address the following five issues to improve the program's flexibility for state and local partners:

* Make it clear that the federal government is not purchasing a federal property interest, but rather the right to enforce the terms of an easement when and if necessary;

* USDA should establish a meaningful certification process to reduce cumbersome and unneeded program requirements for experienced entities;

* While well-intentioned, the new forest management plan requirement is burdensome and should be eliminated or significantly revised;

* The rule should follow Congressional intent and give program partners the flexibility to design and implement their own impervious surfaces standards; and,

* The new national ranking criteria do not adequately recognize state and local farmland protection goals; entities that become certified should be allowed to use their own criteria for ranking farmland protection projects.

Thanks for taking these concerns into consideration.

PFM