

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

Grant-San Francisco SWCD

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: Grant-San Francisco SWCD		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:	Telephone:	
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds consistent with TMDL's where available as well as the reduction of groundwater contamination or point source such as contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in the conservation of a considerable amount of ground or surface water resources?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Irr. Crop #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Irr. Crop #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Irr. Crop #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Irr. Crop #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. Irr. Crop #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Grazing #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Grazing #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. Grazing #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Grazing #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. Grazing #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Graz1--Are the proposed actions and treatment practices likely to result in a positive response in the overall long term Rangeland Health Attribute rating (Soil Site Stability, Hydrologic Function, Biotic Integrity) for all applicable Ecological Sites on the ranch?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Graz2--Are the proposed actions and treatment practices likely to result in a positive response of at least one category code in three of the three Rangeland Health Attributes (Soil Site Stability, Hydrologic Function, Biotic Integrity) for any Ecological Sites?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Graz3--Are the proposed actions and treatment practices likely to result in a positive response of at least one category code in two of the three Rangeland Health Attributes (Soil Site Stability, Hydrologic Function, Biotic Integrity) for any Ecological Site?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Graz4--Are the proposed actions and treatment practices likely to result in a positive response of at least one category code in one of the three Rangeland Health Attributes (Soil Site Stability, Hydrologic Function, Biotic	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Integrity) for any Ecological Site?	
5. Graz5--Is there multiple agency involvement in practices planned for this proposal?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. Graz6--Will the proposed treatment address soil erosion?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. Graz7--Will NRCS approved structures be used to treat the erosion problem?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. Graz8--Will the proposed treatment address a brush management concern?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. Graz9--Will the brush species of concern be juniper or mesquite?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. Graz10--Are conservation practices in place, or will a proposed treatment allow for the implementation or continuation of a deferred rotational grazing system?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
11. Graz11--Will the proposed action address the animal need for water quantity?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
12. Graz12--Will the proposed action result in positive reduction of sediment in water courses and conveyances?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
13. Graz13--Will the proposed action contain multiple practices having a positive effect on the resource concern of soil erosion on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
14. Graz14--Will the proposed action contain only a single practice having a positive effect on the resource concern of soil erosion on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
15. Graz15--Will the proposed action contain multiple practices having a positive effect on the resource concern of plant condition on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
16. Graz16--Will the proposed action contain only a single practice having a positive effect on the resource concern of plant condition on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
17. Graz17--Will the proposed action contain multiple practices having a positive effect on the resource concern of water quantity on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
18. Graz18--Will the proposed action contain only a single practice having a positive effect on the resource concern of water quantity on grazing lands?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
19. Graz19--Applicant has had prior EQIP contract within the 1996 or 2002 Farm Bills and completed or maintained Schedule of Operations and is entirely compliant.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
20. Graz20--Applicant has had prior EQIP contract within the 1996 or 2002 Farm Bills and did not follow planned Schedule of Operations or provide justification for variance to Plan.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
21. IrrCrop1--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of <10% to 10%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
22. IrrCrop2--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 11% to 20%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
23. IrrCrop3--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 21% to 30%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
24. IrrCrop4--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 31% to 40%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
25. IrrCrop5--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 41% to 50%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
26. IrrCrop6--Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of >50%?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
27. IrrCrop7--Will the proposed action to be to convert from surface to sprinkler irrigation?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
28. IrrCrop8--Will the proposed action include practices that significantly reduce irrigation induced erosion?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
29. IrrCrop9--Will the proposed action address streambank soil erosion?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
30. IrrCrop10--Will the proposed action improve an irrigation diversion?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
31. IrrCrop11--Will an infiltration gallery be used to replace an existing push up diversion?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
32. IrrCrop12--Will the proposed action result in a positive benefit to habitat requirements of wildlife species of special concern?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
33. IrrCrop13--Has the applicant had a Farm Bill contract that was successively completed in the past?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
34. IrrCrop14--Has the applicant had a Farm Bill Contract terminated due to non-compliance?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
35. IrrCrop15--Does the applicant have an active Farm Bill contract and scheduled plan of operations is not followed or at least one Scheduled practice per year is not met?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
--------------------------	------------------

Ranking Score

Efficiency:

Local Issues:

State Issues:

National Issues:

Final Ranking Score:

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

NRCS Designated Conservationist:

Applicant Signature Required for Contract Development:

Signature Date:

Signature Date: